

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/560,480	Applicant(s) LIU ET AL.	
	Examiner WANDA Z. RUSSELL	Art Unit 2416	

All Participants:

 (1) WANDA Z. RUSSELL.

 (2) Guy Eriksen.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11 September 2009
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1-12

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The reference 7,245,664 mentions detecting sync data in the null packet on the reception side (see col. 4, lines 16-18). The attorney agreed to the examiner's amendment to overcome prior art rejection to expedite prosecution of the application.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)